

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

DATE: DECEMBER 16, 2015 **PREPARED BY:** (b) (7)(C), (b) (6)

CASE #: OI-HQ-2016-CAC-0014 CROSS REFERENCE #:

TITLE: UNKNOWN SUBJECT, MILLION MASK MARCH, VANDALISM AT EPA WJC

WEST BUILDING

CASE CLOSING REPORT

Subject(s)	Location	Other Data
UNKNOWN SUBJECT	WASHINGTON, D.C.	

VIOLATION:

Destruction of Federal Property

ALLEGATION:

On November 5, 2015, at approximately 12:34 PM Eastern Standard Time, a group of demonstrators (actual number of demonstrators unknown, but approximately 150) affiliated with the protesting group, "Million Mask March" approached the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), William Jefferson Clinton (WJC) West building (1301 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20004), while protesting and marching on Constitution Avenue.

FINDINGS:

Special Agents with the Office of Investigations (OI), Office of Inspector General (OIG), EPA interviewed three (3) security guards stationed in the lobby entrance of the WJC West building. The guards reported, approximately 10 to 15 demonstrators approached the entrance doors and proceeded to bang on the doors. As a result of the banging, one demonstrator (identity unknown) broke a panel of glass on the revolving door, and left the area. No demonstrators gained entry into the WJC West building. One security guard gave a brief description of the unknown demonstrator who broke the glass.

OI special agents viewed the video footage from a camera located in the lobby of the WJC West building. After review of the footage, the special agents were unable to positively identify the individual who broke the window. As a result, no subject has been identified.

DISPOSITION:

The facts of this case were presented to Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) Kacia Weston (Weston), Deputy Chief of the Misdemeanor Section, United States Attorney's Office, District of Columbia, for possible criminal prosecution. After being presented with the facts of the investigation, AUSA Weston declined prosecution due (5) (5)

If additional information is received, the OI OIG will follow-up.

Based upon the foregoing, there are no further investigative steps to be taken and this case is recommended for closure.